

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

GUARDIAN PROTECTION PRODUCTS,  
INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

G.P.P., INC., d/b/a GUARDIAN  
INNOVATIVE SOLUTIONS,

Defendant.

G.P.P., INC.,

Counter Claimant,

v.

GUARDIAN PROTECTION PRODUCTS,  
INC.,

Counter Defendant.

No. 1:20-cv-01680-DAD-EPG

**New Case No. 1:20-cv-01680-DAD-SKO**

ORDER RELATING AND REASSIGNING  
CASE

A review of the complaint in the above-captioned case reveals that it is related under this court's Local Rule 123(a) to the following related action pending before Magistrate Judge Sheila K. Oberto: *G.P.P. Inc. d/b/a Guardian Innovative Solutions v. Guardian Protection Products, Inc.*, 1:15-cv-00321-SKO. Accordingly, assignment of the above-captioned action to the undersigned and to Magistrate Judge Sheila K. Oberto will promote efficiency and economy for the court and the parties.

1 An order relating cases under this court's Local Rule 123 merely assigns them to the same  
2 district judge and magistrate judge—it does not consolidate the cases. The local rules of this  
3 district authorize the judge with the lowest numbered case to order the reassignment of any higher  
4 numbered cases to himself or herself, upon determining that this assignment is likely to effect a  
5 savings of judicial effort. L.R. 123(c).

6 Such good cause appearing here, the court orders that the above-captioned action be  
7 reassigned to the undersigned and Magistrate Judge Sheila K. Oberto and that it be related to  
8 *G.P.P. Inc. d/b/a Guardian Innovative Solutions v. Guardian Protection Products, Inc.*, 1:15-cv-  
9 00321-SKO. The documents in the above-captioned action shall bear the new case number  
10 reflected above.

11 IT IS SO ORDERED.

12 Dated: March 18, 2021

13   
14 \_\_\_\_\_  
15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28